UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,927	06/28/2007	Ana Maria Garcia Collazo	102901-102	5889
27267 WIGGIN AND	7590 02/18/201 DANA LLP	EXAMINER		
	PATENT DOCKETIN		KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832 NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832		32	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/593,927	GARCIA COLLAZO ET AL.		
,	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	1621		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Unc</u>	Status of Application: <u>Under Examination</u>		
(1) <u>SUDHAKAR KATAKAM</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Todd Garabedian</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 4 February 2010	Time: <u>11 am</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 1-7,9,10,12-19				
Prior art documents discussed: WO 01/36365 A2 and (ii) GB 2016010 A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/Sudhakar Katakam/ Examiner, Art Unit 1621	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The following issues were discussed:

- -solvate in the claim language,
- -applicants attorney agreed to cancel claims 5,6 and 13, if the case is condition of allowance,
- -applicants attorney ageed to amend the claim 12,
- -examiner mentioned claim 7 is subjected to 112 1st paragraph rejection, since broad claim language of method of treatment.
- applicants agreed to amend the claims, for example, first four lines of claim 1 will be replaced by:
- "A compound of formula (I) or it's pharmaceutically acceptable ester, amide, or salt thereof,". Same rule applies to other claims.
- -applicants' attorney agreed to delete "of portions of groups" and also "being" from the claim langauge..